

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www wayto gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,161	07/14/2006	James L. Ellis	0102258.00370US2	2719
25270 7590 95/13/2009 WILMERHALE/NITROMED 1875 PENNSYL VANIA A VE, NW			EXAMINER	
			LAU, JONATHAN S	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

teresa.carvalho@wilmerhale.com michael.mathewson@wilmerhale.com deborah.lee@wilmerhale.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/586,161 ELLIS, JAMES L. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jonathan S. Lau -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1623

#### DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action details a Restriction Requirement and Five Election of Species Requirements.

### REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

# When Claims Are Directed to Multiple Categories of Inventions:

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

Application/Control Number: 10/586,161

Art Unit: 1623

(1)A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or

- (2)A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3)A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4)A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5)A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

### Restriction Requirement

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8, 12-14 and 16-18, drawn to a nitrosated compound and compositions thereof.

Group II, claim(s) 9-11 and 15, drawn to a method for treating a bacterial infection; treating a viral infection; treating a fungal infection; and/or treating a lesions in a patient

in need thereof comprising administering to the patient a therapeutically effective amount of said composition.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I and II lack unity of invention because even though the inventions of these groups require the technical feature of a nitrosated compound, this technical feature is not a special technical feature as it does not make a contribution over the prior art in view of Garvey (WIPO Publication 02/087508, published 11 Nov 2002, cited in PTO-892). Garvey teaches nitrosated compounds (abstract), such as antimicrobial compounds (page 57, line 5).

### Election of Species Requirement

If Applicant elects the invention of Group I, Applicant is further required to elect from each of the First, Second, Third and Fourth election of species requirements.

If Applicant elects the invention of Group II, Applicant is further required to elect from each of the **First**, **Second**, **Third**, **Fourth** and **Fifth** election of species requirements.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Art Unit: 1623

First species of composition, for example:

 1a) a composition comprising only a nitosated compound, implicitly disclosed in claim 1,

1b) a composition comprising a nitosated compound and at least one therapeutic agent, disclosed in claim 12,

1c) a composition comprising a nitosated compound and at least one nitric oxide donor compound, disclosed in claim 12, and

1d) a composition comprising a nitosated compound, at least one therapeutic agent and at least one nitric oxide donor compound, disclosed in claim 12.

Second species of nitosated compound:

A specific compound having a specific chemical structure, for example antimicrobial compound amikacin having a nitrosated amine group (-NX) wherein X is (1) –Y-(CR<sub>4</sub>R<sub>4</sub>')<sub>p</sub>-T-(CR<sub>4</sub>R<sub>4</sub>')<sub>p</sub>-ONO<sub>2</sub>, wherein Y is a covalent bond, R<sub>4</sub> and R<sub>4</sub>' are each independently hydrogen, each p is independently 1, and T is NR<sub>j</sub>, wherein R<sub>j</sub> is hydrogen.

Third species of therapeutic agent, required if electing First Species having a therapeutic agent such as 1b or 1d, for example:

3a) the aldosterone antagonist carenone, disclosed in page 42, paragraph 4, and

3b) the alpha adrenergic receptor antagonist phentolamine, disclosed at page 43, paragraph 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,161 Page 6

Art Unit: 1623

Fourth species of nitric oxide donor compound, required if electing First Species having a nitric oxide donor compound such as 1c or 1d, for example:

4a) isosorbide dinitrate, disclosed at page 40, paragraph 2, and

4b) nitroglycerin, disclosed at page 40, paragraph 2.

Fifth species of condition to be treated, for example:

5a) bacterial pulmonary infection that is cystic fibrosis, disclosed in claim 11,

5b) bacterial gastrointestinal infection by Helicobacter pylori, disclosed in claim

10, and

5c) fungal infection, disclosed in claim 9.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. Applicant is cautioned that electing a subgenus, such as a the subgenus of nitosated antimicrobial compound amikacin having a nitrosated amine group (-NX) wherein X is (1) –Y-(CR<sub>4</sub>R<sub>4</sub>')<sub>p</sub>-T-(CR<sub>4</sub>R<sub>4</sub>')<sub>p</sub>-ONO<sub>2</sub> without electing specific groups for any of T, R<sub>4</sub>, R<sub>4</sub>', p, or T, will be considered non-responsive. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,161

Art Unit: 1623

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: All claims are generic to the first, second, third and fourth species. Claims 9-10 and 15 are generic to the fifth species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If

Application/Control Number: 10/586,161

Art Unit: 1623

claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product

Art Unit: 1623

claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan S. Lau whose telephone number is 571-270-3531. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9 am - 4 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Anna Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,161 Page 10

Art Unit: 1623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jonathan Lau Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623 /Shaojia Anna Jiang/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623